



Office of the Police & Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire Police

Internal Audit Annual Report 2015/16

June 2016

This report has been prepared on the basis of the limitations set out on page 13.

Contents

- 01 Introduction
- 02 Head of Internal Audit Opinion
- 03 Performance

Appendices

- A1 Audit Opinions and Recommendations 2015/16
- A2 Audit Projects with Limited and Nil Assurance 2015/16
- A3 Definition of Assurances and Priorities
- A4 Contact Details
- A5 Statement of Responsibility

01 Introduction

Purpose of this Report

This report summarises the work that Internal Audit has undertaken and the key control environment themes identified across Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire Police during the 2015/16 financial year, the service for which is provided by Mazars LLP.

The purpose of the Annual Internal Audit Report is to meet the Head of Internal Audit annual reporting requirements set out in the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) and the Accounts and Audit Regulations 2011. The PSIAS requirements are that the report must include:

- An annual internal audit opinion on the overall adequacy and effectiveness of the organisation's governance, risk and control framework (the control environment);
- A summary of the audit work from which the opinion is derived (including reliance placed on the work by other assurance bodies); and
- A statement on conformation with the PSIAS and the results of the internal audit quality assurance and improvement programme (QAIP), if applicable.

The report should also include:

- The disclosure of any qualifications to that opinion, together with reasons for the qualification;
- The disclosure of any impairments or restriction in scope;
- A comparison of the work actually undertaken with the work that was planned and a summary of the performance of the internal audit function against its performance measures and targets;
- Any issues judged to be particularly relevant to the preparation of the annual governance statement; and
- Progress against any improvement plans resulting from QAIP external assessment.

The Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable are responsible for ensuring that the organisations have proper internal control and management systems in place. In order to do this, they must obtain assurance on the effectiveness of those systems throughout the year, and are required to make a statement on the effectiveness of internal control within their annual report and financial statements.

Internal audit provides the Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable, through the Joint Independent Audit Committee (JIAC), with an independent and objective opinion on governance, risk management and internal control and their effectiveness in achieving the organisation's agreed objectives. Internal audit also has an independent and objective advisory role to help line managers improve governance, risk management and internal control. The work of internal audit, culminating in our annual opinion, forms a part of the OPCC and Force's overall assurance framework and assists in preparing an informed statement on internal control.

Responsibility for a sound system of internal control rests with the Police and Crime Commissioner and Chief Constable and work performed by internal audit should not be relied upon to identify all weaknesses which exist or all improvements which may be made. Effective implementation of our recommendations makes an important contribution to the maintenance of reliable systems of internal control and governance.

02 Head of Internal Audit Opinion

Opinions

From the Internal Audit work undertaken in compliance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS) for the year ending 31st March 2016, we can provide the following opinions:



Basis of the Opinion

Internal Audit applies a risk-based approach and our audits assess the governance framework, the risk management process, as well as the effectiveness of controls across a number of areas. Our findings on these themes are set out below. Overall, we can provide assurance that management have in place a robust control environment and, whilst further remedial actions are needed in some areas, we are assured that management have in place effective processes for the implementation of identified areas of weakness.

Corporate Governance

Whilst no specific audit of Governance was carried out during 2015/16, we have carried out a number audits where governance arrangements were a key aspect. In particular, we undertook an audit of the controls and processes in place in respect of the governance over the G4S partnership service delivery arrangement. The specific areas that formed part of this review included: governance arrangements; roles and responsibilities; contractual terms; policies and procedures; performance management; issue identification and remedial action.

Through the delivery of the internal audit plan and attendance at Joint Independent Audit Committee (JIAC) meetings, we are satisfied that the governance framework for the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire Police has been effective for the year ended 31st March 2016.

Risk Management

As part of the 2015/16 Internal Audit plan we undertook an audit of the controls and processes in place in respect of risk management. The specific areas that formed part of this review included: strategy, policies and procedures; strategic and operational risk registers; risk identification, management and mitigating action; oversight and reporting.

We provided a significant assurance opinion in respect of the OPCC and a satisfactory opinion for Force. We concluded that overall risk management within the Force and OPCC was deemed effective and, with the exception of a few areas where remedial action was required, controls in place were adequate and were largely being applied. Areas identified where enhancements could be made were primarily concerned with risk identification, documenting and controls evaluation as detailed by the Force's departmental risk registers.

Internal Control

As illustrated in the tables below, we have noted that Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire Police have a robust control environment. During the 2015/16 year, five (28%) internal audit projects were rated 'significant assurance', whilst 95% of internal audit projects received "significant" or "satisfactory assurance". During 2015/16 one internal audit project was rated 'limited assurance' (5%), with further details of these audits provided in Appendix A2 – Audit Projects with Limited and Nil Assurance 2015/16. In addition, of the four collaborative audits covering the East Midlands policing region, one was rated 'significant assurance', one was rated 'satisfactory assurance' whilst in two instances they related to advisory work and no audit opinion was provided.

The following tables provide a brief overview of the assurance gradings given as a consequence of audits carried out during 2015/16, split between those specific to Lincolnshire and those undertaken as part of East Midlands regional collaborative audits. More details of the audit opinions and the priority of recommendations for all 2015/16 Internal Audit assignments is provided in Appendix A1 – Audit Opinions and Recommendations.

Lincolnshire Only

Assurance Gradings	2015/16	
Significant	5 ¹	28%
Satisfactory	12 ¹	67%
Limited	1	5%
Nil	0	0%
Total	18	

¹ Risk Management - opinion was split between OPCC (Significant) and Force (Satisfactory).

Collaboration Audits

Assurance Gradings	2015/16	
Significant	1	50%
Satisfactory	1	50%
Limited	0	0%
Nil	0	0%
Sub-Total	2	
No opinion	2	
Total	4	

Issues relevant to Annual Governance Statement

The work of internal audit, culminating in our annual opinion, forms a part of the OPCC and Force's overall assurance framework and assists in preparing an informed statement on internal control. Internal Audit, through its annual programme of activity, has a duty to bring to your attention any areas of weakness we believe should be considered when producing the Annual Governance Statement. As part of this responsibility, we have highlighted any limited or nil assurance reports within Appendix A2.

Restriction placed on the work of Internal Audit

As set out in the Audit Charter, we can confirm that Internal Audit had unrestricted right of access to all OPCC and Force records and information, both manual and computerised, cash, stores and other property or assets it considered necessary to fulfil its responsibilities.

03 Performance

The following table details the Internal Audit Service performance for the year to date measured against the key performance indicators that were set out within Audit Charter.

No	Indicator	Criteria	Performance
1	Annual report provided to the JIAC	As agreed with the Client Officer	Achieved
2	Annual Operational and Strategic Plans to the JIAC	As agreed with the Client Officer	Achieved
3	Progress report to the JIAC	7 working days prior to meeting.	Achieved
4	Issue of draft report	Within 10 working days of completion of final exit meeting.	88% (15/17)
5	Issue of final report	Within 5 working days of agreement of responses.	100% (17/17)
6	Follow-up of priority one recommendations	90% within four months. 100% within six months.	N/A
7	Follow-up of other recommendations	100% within 12 months of date of final report.	N/A
8	Audit Brief to auditee	At least 10 working days prior to commencement of fieldwork.	100% (17/17)
9	Customer satisfaction (measured by survey)	85% average satisfactory or above	89% (8/9)

Quality and Conformance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards

In addition to the firm's overall policy and procedures, our internal audit manual and working papers are designed to ensure compliance with the Firm's quality requirements. Furthermore, our internal audit manual and approach are based on professional internal auditing standards issued by the Global Institute of Internal Auditors, as well as sector specific codes such as the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards.

Our methodology and work has been subject to review as part of our internal Quality Assurance Reviews undertaken by our Standards and Risk Management team as well as external scrutiny by the likes of external auditors, as well as other regulatory bodies. No adverse comments have been raised around our compliance with professional standards or our work not being able to be relied upon.

Appendix A1 - Audit Opinions and Recommendations 2015/16

Auditable Area	Report Status	Assurance Opinion		Priority 1 (Fundamental)	Priority 2 (Significant)	Priority 3 (Housekeeping)	Total
Lincolnshire Only							
Firearms Licensing	Final	Satisfactory		-	4	4	8
Procurement	Final	Satisfactory		-	2	-	2
Estates Management	Final	Satisfactory		-	2	4	6
Risk Management	Final	Force - Satisf	OPCC – Signif	-	-	3	3
Governance	Final	Satisfactory		-	1	3	4
Budgetary Control	Final	Satisfactory		-	1	-	1
Cash, Bank & Treasury	Final	Significant		-	-	1	1
General Ledger	Final	Satisfactory		-	1	-	1
Asset Management	Final	Satisfactory		-	2	1	3
Income & Debtors	Final	Significant		-	-	2	2
Payment & Creditors	Final	Significant		-	-	5	5
Victims Code of Practice	Final	Satisfactory		-	3	4	7
Benefit Realisation	Final	Satisfactory		-	3	2	5

Auditable Area	Report Status	Assurance Opinion	Priority 1 (Fundamental)	Priority 2 (Significant)	Priority 3 (Housekeeping)	Total
Lincolnshire Only						
Payroll	Final	Satisfactory	-	3	3	6
Stock	Final	Limited	1	7	-	8
T-Police – User Acceptance	Final	Significant	-	-	-	0
Pensions	Final	Satisfactory	-	2	2	4
Lincolnshire Total			1	31	34	66

Auditable Area	Report Status	Assurance Opinion	Priority 1 (Fundamental)	Priority 2 (Significant)	Priority 3 (Housekeeping)	Total
Collaboration						
Forensics	Final	Satisfactory	-	3	2	5
Officers in Kind	Draft					
PCC Board Governance	Draft					
Covert Payments	Draft					
Collaboration Total			-	3	2	5

Appendix A2 - Audit Projects with Limited and Nil Assurance 2015/16

Project	Grading	Summary of Key Findings
Stock Control	Limited	<p>We raised one priority 1 recommendation and seven priority 2 recommendations where we believe there is scope for improvement within the control environment. The priority 1 and 2 recommendations are set out below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Regular stock takes of the uniform retained within the stores at the Force should be completed. Stock takes should be undertaken by staff or officers independent of those responsible for day to day operations of the stock management process and records maintained to support the frequency, result and action taken to resolve any discrepancies identified as a result. (Priority 1) • Journal entries should be processed accurately on the general ledger in line with the supporting documentation and these should be posted to the correct budget codes. Journals should be posted in a timely manner. • There should be a comprehensive record of all stock and non-stock items issued to Officers which should be checked upon an Officer leaving the Force. • Procedure notes should be developed for all key tasks completed with regards to stock management, including uniform, vehicle parts and fuel. <p>The procedures should be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Regularly reviewed using version control to evidence the review; ➤ Tested for accuracy and appropriateness; and ➤ Made available to all relevant officers on the intranet • Management should liaise with the t-Police system administrators to establish what reports can be produced to support the Uniform Stock Management process. <p>Requirements of the Force should be defined in terms of which reports would be beneficial to support the process and adequate training on the t-Police should then be provided to Officer's with the responsibility of the management of stock at the Force.</p>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All movements of stock should be accurately recorded on the system in a timely manner. • Minimum and maximum stock level parameters should be set for stock items on the relevant stock management systems. Automatic notifications should be in place where stock falls below the minimum level on the system and additional stock should be purchased following notification.
--	--	--

Appendix A3 – Definition of Assurances and Priorities

Definitions of Assurance Levels		
Assurance Level	Adequacy of system design	Effectiveness of operating controls
Significant Assurance:	There is a sound system of internal control designed to achieve the Organisation's objectives.	The control processes tested are being consistently applied.
Satisfactory Assurance:	While there is a basically sound system of internal control, there are weaknesses, which put some of the Organisation's objectives at risk.	There is evidence that the level of non-compliance with some of the control processes may put some of the Organisation's objectives at risk.
Limited Assurance:	Weaknesses in the system of internal controls are such as to put the Organisation's objectives at risk.	The level of non-compliance puts the Organisation's objectives at risk.
No Assurance	Control processes are generally weak leaving the processes/systems open to significant error or abuse.	Significant non-compliance with basic control processes leaves the processes/systems open to error or abuse.

Definitions of Recommendations	
Priority	Description
Priority 1 (Fundamental)	Recommendations represent fundamental control weaknesses, which expose the organisation to a high degree of unnecessary risk.
Priority 2 (Significant)	Recommendations represent significant control weaknesses which expose the organisation to a moderate degree of unnecessary risk.
Priority 3 (Housekeeping)	Recommendations show areas where we have highlighted opportunities to implement a good or better practice, to improve efficiency or further reduce exposure to risk.

Appendix A4 - Contact Details

Contact Details

Mike Clarkson

07831 748135

Mike.Clarkson@Mazars.co.uk

Brian Welch

07780 970200

Brian.Welch@Mazars.co.uk

Appendix A5 - Statement of Responsibility

Status of our reports

The responsibility for maintaining internal control rests with management, with internal audit providing a service to management to enable them to achieve this objective. Specifically, we assess the adequacy of the internal control arrangements implemented by management and perform testing on those controls to ensure that they are operating for the period under review. We plan our work in order to ensure that we have a reasonable expectation of detecting significant control weaknesses. However, our procedures alone are not a guarantee that fraud, where existing, will be discovered.

The contents of this report are confidential and not for distribution to anyone other than the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire and Lincolnshire Police. Disclosure to third parties cannot be made without the prior written consent of Mazars LLP.

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an international advisory and accountancy group. Mazars LLP is registered by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales to carry out company audit work.